

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,512	CAMPBELL, JOHN ANDERSON	
	Examiner	Art Unit Sathyaranarayanan Pannala	2164

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sathyaranarayanan Pannala. (3) _____.

(2) Haul H. Knz, Reg. No.: 45,752. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Zahavi et al. (US Patent 6,886,020) and Therrien et al. (USPA Pub. 2004/0093361A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed claims 1 and 13 with reference to prior art on record and Applicant argued that they do not teach the claimed. Examiner explained how the primary art teaches the claims. Applicant for another office action with all claims citing the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sathyaranarayanan Pannala/
Primary Examiner